TRUTH11.COM

# Ineffectiveness + Toxicity Of All Vaccines • 5 Historical Vaccination Frauds

**Dylan Eleven**

Jul 18, 2023 21 min




**How the Establishment Hijacked Vaccine History**

**Tap News | Ian**

This article details 5 historical vaccination frauds suppressed by the r
establishment, including deceptions, coverups, and empirical facts we
meant to forget.

Subscribe

As you will see, these examples prove conclusively that opposition from the scientific and medical communities to vaccination is neither unscientific nor a "new" phenomenon courtesy of the "anti-vaxx" movement of the modern era, as the establishment would have us believe.

Let's start at the start with **Vax Fraud No. 1...**

## 1. The Frauds of the Founding Father

Edward Jenner (1749-1823) has been lauded as a medical pioneer and saver of the lives of millions for supposedly developing the earliest crude forms of vaccination. But is he really all that?

Was he even an original thinker? What if he turned out to be...a charlatan?

See for yourself.

Jenner set up practice as a "surgeon" in Berkeley in the 1700s but, in fact, he did not earn the title of "doctor" at all. Jenner's history is actually quite amusing.

As Dr Walter Hadwen, JP, MD, LRCP, MRCS, LSA., explained during an address in 1896:

> *"Now this man Jenner had never passed a medical examination in his life. He belonged to the good old times when George III was King—(laughter)—when medical examinations were not compulsory.*
>
> *Jenner looked upon the whole thing as a superfluity, and he hung up 'Surgeon, apothecary', over his door* ***without any of the qualifications*** *that warranted the assumption. It was not until twenty years after he was in practice that he thought it advisable to get a few letters after his name. Consequently he then communicated with a Scotch University and obtained the degree of Doctor of Medicine for the sum of £15 and nothing more. (Laughter.)*
>
> *It is true that a little while before, he had obtained a Fellowship of the Royal Society, but his latest biographer and apologist, Dr. Norman Moore, had to confess that it was obtained by little less than a* ***fraud****.*

> *It was obtained by writing a most extraordinary paper about a fabulous cuckoo, for the most part* ***composed of arrant absurdities and imaginative freaks*** *such as no ornithologist of the present day would pay the slightest heed to. A few years after this, rather dissatisfied with the only medical qualification he had obtained, Jenner communicated with the University of Oxford and asked them to grant him their honorary degree of M.D., and after a good many fruitless attempts he got it.*
>
> *Then he sent to the Royal College of Physicians in London to get their diploma, and even presented his Oxford degree as an argument in his favour. But they considered he had had quite enough on the cheap already, and told him distinctly that* ***until he passed the usual examinations they were not going to give him any more.****" ~ Dr Walter Hadwen, 1896 [1] [bold emphases added]****Subscribe***

So, after about 20 years of practicing his special brand of "medicine," Jenner the professional charlatan realized he might benefit from some extra letters after his name, and thus it was that in **1790**, Jenner **simply *bought* a medical degree for £15.**

This is the man who helped create what is now a multi-billion dollar medical empire—a person who was not only a complete fraud and confidence man, but evidently a pathological liar.

Still, at least Jenner tried to create the *appearance* of medical credentials, whereas his present day "descendent" and proselytiser Bill "COVI-PASS" Gates has precisely NONE and doesn't seem to care.

Let's continue the vaccination fraud timeline…

**1791:** Edward Jenner vaccinated his 18 month old son with swine-pox and eight years later in 1798 with cow-pox. His son died of tuberculosis (TB) at the age of 21, and Jenner thereafter chose NOT to vaccinate his second son. (Yes, he became a "refuser" of sorts, at least where his own family's health was concerned! The irony is supreme.)

**1796:** Jenner living in Gloucestershire, England, is falsely credited with the concept of vaccination, which he actually appropriated from dairy maids. Dr. Hadwen in his 1896

address explained:

> “He was not, however, the discoverer [of the vaccine concept]. The whole thing was a superstition of the Gloucestershire dairymaids years before Jenner was born—(laughter)—and the very experiment, so-called, that he performed had been performed by an old farmer named Benjamin Jesty twenty years previously.”

Hadwen added:

> *“When Jenner first of all heard the story of the cow-pox legend that the dairymaids talked about, **that if you only had cow-pox you can’t have small-pox**, he began to mention it at the meetings of the Medico-Convivial Society, where the old doctors of the day met together to smoke their pipes, drink their glasses of grog, and talk over their cases.*
>
> *But he no sooner mentioned it than they laughed at it. The **cow doctors could have told him of hundreds of cases where small-pox had followed cow-pox**, and Jenner found he would have to drop it.[2] [emphasis added]*

*Yes, vaccination began with an utterly unscientific superstition, unbacked by any evidence at all and propagated by the local English dairymaids to one self-confident crank named Edward Jenner, a medical fraud who bought his credentials for fifteen pounds.*

Dr. George William Winterburn (1845 – 1911), editor of the *American Homeopathist*and lecturer on Clinical Medicine at the Manhattan Hospital, in his work on *The Value of Vaccination a Non-Partisan Review of Its History and Results*, says (pp. 68-69) that even the “Royal Jennerian Society” in its second report in 1806, “admitted having seen a few cases of smallpox in persons who had passed through the cowpox in the usual way.” (Hale, *The Medical Voodoo*)

**1801:** First widespread experimentation with vaccines reputedly began. Jenner had successfully self-promoted and marketed his pseudo-medicine in spite of the overwhelming evidence against his foundational premise.

**1802:** Jenner petitioned the English parliament (House of Commons) for funding using blatant falsehoods, stating that vaccination can be done with perfect safety (where have we heard that before?) The English Government awarded Jenner 10,000 pounds for continued "experimentation." (In his excellent 1920 book, *The Horrors of Vaccination Exposed,* Charles M. Higgins says it was 30,000 pounds, perhaps pertaining to Jenner's **1807** triumphant petition which landed him further funds.)

**1810:** The London Medical Observer (Vol.VI, 1810) publishes details of "535 cases of smallpox after vaccination, 97 fatal cases of smallpox after vaccination, and 150 cases of serious injury from vaccination, ten of whom were medical men." (Hale, *The Medical Voodoo*, quoting Winterburn)

Dr Winterburn cited,

> further instances of the failure of vaccination to protect in the early period, in "a severe epidemic in Marseilles when 2,000 vaccinated persons were stricken with smallpox; and the epidemic of 1831 in Wiirttemberg when 995 'protected' ones succumbed to the prevailing malady." These instances are given on the authority of the English and German health officials, Marson and Heim. Winterburn also gives the report of a French Army surgeon named Ducharme, of an outbreak of smallpox in his regiment a few months after it had been re-vaccinated, and when hygienic conditions as to space, ventilation and food were excellent, who recites the remarkable fact that in another regiment—"lodged in precisely similar barracks, situated in the same court, but on whom no vaccinations had yet been performed, not a single case of smallpox occurred"! (Hale, *The Medical Voodoo*, quoting Winterburn)

Jenner's arm-to-arm method of vaccination ultimately proved so dangerous that it was abandoned and even prohibited. His claim of lifelong immunity was quickly exposed to

be one of the more brazen pieces of self-promotional dishonesty medical “science” has ever produced.

Share

As vaccinated subjects continued to develop the diseases they were supposedly “immunized” against, this claim was modified to roughly 7 years protection with subsequent re-vaccination deemed “necessary.”

By 1914 this “immunity” period was shortened yet again to an estimated 6-12 months (!), sharply exposing the lunacy of the vaccine paradigm to anyone paying attention.

This was over 200 years ago and nothing much has changed. More recently we were told we needed a covax—then we needed a booster, then another booster, and another still…

They keep pulling this scam and people keep falling for it. Meanwhile if you drop dead or suffer some horrible malady shortly after receiving a jab, it’s “just a coincidence.”

Jenner’s original claims for vaccination were stated in his Petition to Parliament March 17, 1802, in which he asked for a reward for his alleged “discovery”—in these words [the bold text brackets are my interjections]:

> “That your petitioner, having discovered **[false: he got the idea came from the dairymaids of rural England]** that a disease which occasionally exists in a particular form among cattle, known by the name of the cow-pox, admits of being inoculated on the human frame with the most perfect ease and safety **[false: it proved time and again to be dangerous and not to prevent smallpox at all]**, and is attended with the singularly beneficial effect of rendering through life the person so inoculated perfectly secure from the infection of the smallpox **[false: he claimed perfect immunity for *life* based on a mere *few years* of observation and no evidence at all—people still got cowpox AND smallpox!]**.[3]

Three strikes and you’re out, Ed!

In his book, *The Horrors of Vaccination Exposed,* Charles M. Higgins referred to Jenner's statement as a "tissue of falsehoods"—and so it was. That, however, did not prevent Jenner from collecting the sum of 30,000 pounds (a whopping sum at the time) from the British government as a reward for his imaginative fabrications.

In the following years, through to the 1900s, **many cases of smallpox in those who had received the smallpox vaccine(s) continued to be recorded**. In the **early 1820s**, while the British government was still funding Jenner's "experiments," he continued to do his best to hide the evidence showing his vaccines were causing more carnage than immunity.

Pro-vaccinism became largely a face-saving exercise which has only swelled in scale (and funding) ever since.

A study of Edward Jenner is a study in modern medicine's roots in **charlatanry**.

"The further I looked into it, the more shocked I became. I found that the whole vaccine business was indeed a gigantic hoax. Most doctors are convinced that they are useful, but if you look at the proper statistics and study the instance of these diseases, you will realize that this is not so."
~ Dr. Archie Kalokerinos, AMM, MBBS, PhD, FAPM

## 2. The Smallpox Statistics We Were Meant to Forget

Many people are starting to catch on to the dangers inherent in vaccination, but too few realise at present that there is no shortage of instances where far more harm is done than presumed "good."

We venture right back to the beginning of the 20th century in England and find that there are similar numbers of vaccine-induced deaths and smallpox deaths, except in the case of children under 5 years of age, where **the vaccine proved much riskier**

**than smallpox itself**, highlighting once more that the more vulnerable among us suffer the most at the point of the needle.

See below.[4]

**Smallpox Deaths vs. Smallpox Vaccine Deaths — Data taken from *Reports of the Registrar General of England***

1906: smallpox deaths = 21, vaccine deaths = 29

1907: smallpox deaths = 10, vaccine deaths = 12

1908: smallpox deaths = 12, vaccine deaths = 13

1905-10: smallpox deaths total = 199, vaccine deaths = 99.
**In children under 5 years: smallpox deaths = 26, deaths from vaccine = 98**

1911-13: SP deaths = 42, vacc. Deaths = 31.
**In children under 5 years, smallpox deaths = 8, deaths from vaccine = 3**

*Let's not forget:*

**1831:** There was a smallpox outbreak in Wurtemberg, Germany, wherein 995 vaccinated people developed the disease.

**1831:** 2,000 people in Marseilles, France, who had received the smallpox vaccination, developed smallpox.

**1854:** England legislates for compulsory vaccination; widely opposed by eminent doctors of the day.

**1857-59: Medical fascism rises:** Vaccination in England is now enforced, with fines for non-compliance, much to the disgust of rational medical men around the country who vigorously oppose it. Thus begins the **smallpox epidemic** of England, which lasts until **1859**, killing over 14,000 people.

**1854-63:** Smallpox claimed over 33,000 lives by this point, following the introduction of a compulsory vaccination program.

**1863-65:** England's **second** major epidemic strikes, claiming a further 20,059 lives.

**1870-72:** England's **third** major epidemic claims 44,840 lives, the worst of the three, following compulsory vaccination.

**1907:** Compulsory vaccination laws were repealed. The failure of vaccination was too obvious to disguise or "spin" with the PR methods available at the time.

**1910-33:** During this period, in England and Wales combined, only 109 kids under the age of 5 years died of smallpox; 270 died from the vaccination. It is estimated there were around *40 million* people in the UK in 1910. In reality, improved living conditions had all but wiped out smallpox, despite the vaccine-induced epidemics of prior decades.

*Repeat after me: "Safe and effective, safe and effective..."*

## 3. The City of Leicester: Sanitation Trumps Vaccination

> *"One of the medical profession's greatest boasts is that it eradicated smallpox through the use of the smallpox vaccine. I myself believed this claim for many years. But it simply isn't true.*
>
> *One of the worst smallpox epidemics of all time took place in England between 1870 and 1872 – nearly two decades after compulsory vaccination was introduced. After this evidence that smallpox vaccination didn't work the people of Leicester in the English midlands refused to have the vaccine any more.*
>
> *When the next smallpox epidemic struck in the early 1890s the people of Leicester relied upon good sanitation and a system of quarantine. There was only one death from smallpox in Leicester during that epidemic.*
>
> *In contrast the citizens of other towns (who had been vaccinated) died in vast numbers... **Doctors and drug companies may not like it but the truth is that surveillance, quarantine and better living conditions got rid of smallpox—not the***

> ***smallpox vaccine.***"
> *– Dr. Vernon Coleman, MB*

**1914**: Dr. C. Killick Millard, Medical Officer of Health (Leicester, England) published *The Vaccination Question* and admitted that the city of Leicester, with a population of around 300,000 at the time, had for 30 years abandoned infant vaccination and yet "miraculously" experienced an **"enormous decline" in smallpox mortality**.**Subscribe**

We should consider his words carefully: Millard was a man who at the outset was *pro-vaccine,* and yet—although the endless repetition of vaccine propaganda and dogma had definitely made an impression upon the collective mind by the 1900s—his *empirical experience* with the city of Leicester caused him to change his views.

To quote Millard:

> The two crucial and outstanding facts which I wish to lay stress upon, are:
>
> 1. The unexpected and remarkable experience of the town of Leicester, which for thirty years has **abandoned infantile vaccination**, yet has shown an **enormous decline in smallpox mortality**.
> 2. The fact that, although infantile vaccination is falling more and more into disuse throughout the whole country, yet **smallpox**, contrary to all pro-vaccinist expectation and prophecy, continues to decline and **has almost disappeared**.... The striking facts that in Leicester, without infantile vaccination, the decline has been greater than in most places, and that throughout the country smallpox has continued to decrease in spite of the falling off in vaccination, should surely be sufficient grounds for legitimate doubt.
>
> ... If it can be shown that **"sanitation", thoroughly carried out, is alone sufficient for the effective control of smallpox** in this country (as in Leicester), why inflict upon the community universal vaccination with all its inseparable drawbacks? Moreover, what justification can there be any longer for compulsion?
>
> **It cannot be denied that vaccination causes, in the aggregate, very considerable**

> **injury to health**, most of it only temporary, but some permanent… **We must never forget that vaccination is an evil…**
>
> There is not the slightest evidence that vaccination, apart from its [presumed] effect in preventing smallpox, is of the least value or anything but detrimental to the human race…
>
> **During the last decade, the deaths from vaccinia have several times outnumbered those from smallpox**, whilst if we have regard to the amount of ill health caused by the two diseases (and putting aside for the moment the question of the alleged effect of vaccination in lessening smallpox) **it looks as if vaccinia [vaccine-induced disease] were becoming, so far as the community is concerned, the more serious disease of the two.**[5] *[bold emphases added]*

In other words, the lesson is that it isn't about "germs," it's about nurturing your bodily terrain. THAT is what brought smallpox (and the other diseases) under control.

***Below:*** Millard's letter to the editor of the British Medical Journal, published February 20, 1915.

## 4. Vaccine Campaigns Were Always Known to Cause Outbreaks

In *Compulsory Vaccination in England* (1884), social reformer William Tebb observed:

> "Vaccination was made compulsory by an Act of Parliament in the year 1853 ; again in 1867; and still more stringent in 1871. Since 1853, we have had three epidemics of small-pox, each being more severe than the one preceding."

One well suppressed historical trend regarding vaccines is that **serious outbreaks have a habit of occurring in the most heavily vaccinated areas**(such as Leicester) and dodging less vaccinated areas.

(**The 1918 "Spanish Flu" epidemic is a classic case**: vaccination caused the "outbreak" [mass poisoning] of millions of people, but the finger was pointed instead at a "virus" as the culprit!)

Only the most facile logic presupposes that those areas enduring outbreaks must have not followed vaccine procedure correctly, or had the misfortune of "bad batches," etc.

The logical conclusion to draw from the evidence is that the **vaccine campaigns were actually creating epidemics of poisoning** which were spun as outbreaks of "contagion" to cover up the failure of vaccines.

Eminent medical men recognised the vaccines were responsible for the "outbreaks" in the 1800s—but did we listen?

While advocates argue correlation doesn't equal causation (true enough), no independent investigator is satisfied with ignoring the mountains of evidence indicating causality, which date back to the very beginnings of vaccination.

Big Pharma apologists, of course, can warn of the *post hoc ergo propter hoc* fallacy, but some of us extend our efforts end energies beyond mere fancy rhetoric—we also note that **the correlation has a bi-directional effect**, i.e., that disease incidence and mortality regularly *increase* in the wake of vaccination, and also regularly *drop* with a corresponding *decrease* in vaccination rates.

*It goes both ways.*

*Also consider: the **child mortality** rate in America—the most heavily vaccinated nation on earth—ranked near last of all developed nations in 2017, coming in at a woeful 55th place* on the global scorecard, behind countries such as South Korea, Slovenia, Belarus, Macau, and many others with less technological and medical resources.

The US also ranked a paltry 33rd out of 36 OECD countries in 2018. America's child mortality rate continues to be abysmal—a searing indictment of government's and Big Pharma's "Every child a pin cushion" mentality.

***Above:*** Anatomy of 3 epidemics and the smallpox statistics from England for the period 1857-1873, spanning all three outbreaks.[6]

Notice the disproportionately **high increases in smallpox mortality rates**(above) as compared to population growth.

Meanwhile, we remember that Leicester *abandoned* infantile vaccination and **eliminated smallpox simply by thoroughly enhancing sanitation methods**.

Today, our programmed fear of smallpox, flu, and other "dreaded" diseases is out of all proportion with reality, and shows that we have forgotten how potent basic, natural, common-sense measures can be in keeping a society healthy.

*It is also worth noting:*
So-called "exposure" doesn't equal illness—the body's internal terrain must be conducive to the development of symptoms. **The Contagion Myth lacks the explanatory power of the Terrain Paradigm.**

A **recent study** on the infectivity of asymptomatic **SARS-CoV-2** ("COVID-19") carriers determined that after exposing 455 people to an asymptomatic "carrier," NOT A SINGLE ONE of them became infected.

Zero.

The authors had to conclude that "infectivity" via so-called asymptomatic carriers "might" be "weak." (Gee, you think?! How do you contract a disease from someone who isn't sick?!)

For clarity, an "asymptomatic carrier" is simply someone who is not "sick"—and virology cannot prove they are "carrying" anything that matters whatsoever.

Below is a graph (also from Tebb's book) showing that the mandating of the smallpox vaccination did not prevent the **mortality rate from more than *doubling* within thirty years**, while the population increased by only about one third.

In other words, the vaccine mandate ensured the problem intensified. We need to spell it out for the Cult of Vaccinia: The more poison or contaminants the body takes on, the less likely it is to survive health challenges. (Duh.)

In summarising the origins of vaccination fraud, coverups, and the resultant pro-vaccine dogma, Dr. Jennifer Craig Ph.D states:

> *"The report of Dr. William Farr, (1807 – 1883), Compiler of Statistics of the Registrar General of London and considered to be the first developer of vital statistics, stated: "**Smallpox attained its maximum mortality after vaccination was introduced**.*
>
> *The mean annual mortality for 10,000 population from 1850 to 1869 was at the rate of 2.04, whereas after compulsory vaccination, in 1871 the death rate was 10.24. In 1872 the death rate was 8.33 and this after the most laudable efforts to extend vaccination by legislative enactments.*
>
> *The compulsory vaccination law was repealed in 1907. By 1919, England and Wales had become one of the least vaccinated countries and had only 28 deaths from smallpox out of a population of 37.8 million people. According to official figures of the Registrar General of England, 109 children under five years in England and Wales died of smallpox between 1910 and 1933. In that same period 270 died from vaccination.*
>
> ***Between 1934 and 1961 not one smallpox death was recorded but 115 children under five years died from smallpox vaccination."** [7] [emphasis added]*

## 5. Statistical Wizardry: Making Polio and Autism Disappear

*When in Doubt, White it Out!*

One of the lynch pins of the vaccine machine has always been systematic (and often high-level) deception in order to maintain the illusion of efficacy.

Put bluntly, when things don't pan out as devotees of the Temple of Vaccinia want, they simply **tinker with the data** and play semantic games to create new figures that *appear* to support their agenda.

Sometimes, the tactic is more straightforward: **gather the data and bin it** so it never sees the light of day, as William Thompson has recently elucidated (confessed) for us regarding the MMR scandal whereby the CDC knew of the MMR-autism link and deliberately buried the evidence—for some 14 years!

If "black lives matter" to you, please note that African American boys were found to be some **four times more likely to end up autistic** than their Caucasian counterparts after receiving the MMR jab.

Let's end the carnage.

As Carolanne Wright explains:

> *"The debate about vaccine safety did not truly take hold until a **1998**Lancet study was published by Andrew Wakefield, MD, which made a correlation between increased rates of autism in certain members of the population and the administration of the MMR vaccine. Over the next few years, **several additional studies by different researchers also found a link**. In response, the CDC performed their own study in 2001…a case controlled study, meaning that **they did not look at any physical children**…Their findings were published in the journal Pediatrics in 2004.*
>
> *For many [people] following the vaccine-autism controversy, the CDC study conclusively closed the MMR vaccine debate…That is, until the lead researcher [Dr. William Thompson] came forward years later and revealed key documents associated with the study were destroyed, showing that there had been a **massive manipulation of data and an intentional cover-up**." [Emphasis added]*

***"I regret that my co-authors and I omitted statistically significant information…" – Dr. William Thompson, former Centers for Disease Control (CDC) scientist and whistleblower.***

Meanwhile, Dr. Andrew Wakefield was defamed, ostracized, chased out of England, and left to pick up the pieces of his life without a shred of evidence supporting the vindictive and fictitious Establishment narrative created against him.

Yet, while this is a prime example of vaccine fraud in the modern era, let's re-focus on pre-WWII material and data, for an historical perspective that will be easy to digest.

Vaccine apologists are quick to object to any criticism of vaccination with the famously asinine trope, "Do you want to **bring back polio**?"

Not **once** have I ever encountered such a person who had any notion at all of *what actually causes polio*, but more to the point, Maurice Beddow Bayly, member of the Royal College of Surgeons, LRCP, wrote in 1934:

> *"**After vaccination was introduced, cases of aseptic meningitis were reported as a separate disease from polio, but such were counted as polio before the vaccine was introduced**.*
>
> *The Ministry of Health admitted that the vaccine status of the individual is a guiding factor in diagnosis…**If a person who is vaccinated contracts the disease, the disease is simply recorded under a different name**…**Those who contracted polio after the first inoculation were placed on the non-inoculated list**…*
>
> *It's obvious that this practice of screening statistics, apparently in order to suppress facts unfavourable to immunization, **invalidates most of the evidence brought forward by the supporters of immunization**."[8] [emphasis added]*

In short, when the numbers don't support vaccination dogma, **medical authorities simply change them** to suit the purposes of their business (remember the CDC, for instance, is a vaccine company which owns patents on multiple vaccines).

It has been standard practise over the last two centuries, and is a tradition proudly carried on today, as whistleblowers like Thompson have shown.

And no, vaccination certainly did not get rid of polio—*quite the opposite*. **Vaccine-induced cases of polio were subsequently classed as something else to hide the damage:**

> *Dr. Bernard Greenberg, a biostatistics expert, was chairman of the Committee on Evaluation and Standards of the American Public Health Association during the 1950s. He testified at a panel discussion that was used as evidence for the congressional hearings on polio vaccine in 1962.*
>
> *During these hearings he elaborated on the problems associated with polio statistics and disputed claims for the vaccine's effectiveness.* ***He attributed the dramatic decline in polio cases to a change in reporting practices by physicians****.*
>
> *Less cases were identified as polio after the vaccination for very specific reasons… Note that [initially] "two examinations at least 24 hours apart" was all that was required [to diagnose polio]. Laboratory confirmation and presence of residual paralysis was* ***not****required.*
>
> *In* ***1955 the criteria were changed*** *to conform more closely to the definition used in the 1954 field trials: residual paralysis was determined 10 to 20 days after onset of illness and again 50 to 70 days after onset….****This change in definition meant that in 1955 we started reporting a new disease****, namely, paralytic poliomyelitis with a* ***longer-lasting paralysis****. [This means fewer cases qualified, as many simply did not last this long.]*

> *Furthermore, diagnostic procedures have continued to be refined. Coxsackie virus infections and aseptic meningitis have [now] been distinguished from paralytic poliomyelitis. Prior to 1954 large numbers of these cases undoubtedly were mislabelled as paralytic poliomyelitis.*
>
> *Thus, simply by **changes in diagnostic criteria**, the number of paralytic cases was predetermined as used. – The Fresno Bee, Community Relations, DPT Report, Dec 5, 1984. [Emphasis added]**Subscribe***

Considering the embarrassingly large sums of money that governments have invested in vaccine campaigns (and the attendant propaganda/psychological warfare to justify them), it is somewhat understandable that, in their cowardly bureaucratic state of mind, rather than admit to such monstrous mistakes, said bureaucrats and medical stooges simply try to **bury the evidence** and save face.

After Jenner's death, when **vaccinated people continued to contract smallpox**(repeatedly proving the fraud of the smallpox vaccine) their medical records showed they had **"pustular eczema"** instead.[9]

George Bernard Shaw (1856-1950) recalled seeing this **data-recording fraud**firsthand:

> "During the last considerable epidemic at the turn of the century, I was a member of the Health Committee of London Borrough Council, and **I learned how the credit of vaccination is kept up statistically** by diagnosing all the revaccinated cases (of smallpox) as pustular eczema, varioloid or what not—[anything] except smallpox." [10] *[Emphasis added]*

Instead of admitting the dangerous and ineffectual nature of the earliest vaccines, however, authorities ploughed ahead creating more and more vaccines, all on the same superstitious premises that gave birth to the mythology now rammed down our throats as sacrosanct "science" and—more insanely—the "gold standard" of medicine.

But if it's *sacrosanct* it isn't *science but religion*...

…and the fact remains that medical authorities have been lying and covering their tracks all along, just as confidence man **Edward Jenner, the godfather of the vaccine lie**, did right from the start.

If vaccines are so "safe and effective" why then, after Japan started compulsory smallpox vaccination in 1872, did **smallpox rates increase**thereafter? After twenty years, their records indicated 165,774 cases with 29,979 deaths—ALL of them vaccinated.

Meanwhile, in Australia, where there was *no such compulsion* to vaccinate, there were only *three deaths in fifteen years*.[11]

Clearly we are preventing these conditions ***somehow***, and it starts with the basics—psychological wellbeing, nutrition, sanitation, and hygiene—which prevent disease by eliminating the underlying causal factors that create the potential for it in the first place.

By nurturing the body's terrain we prevent the emergence of symptoms.

Vaccines came later and falsely claimed the credit.

That is merely a historically accurate statement—but who needs history when we can just keep chanting the holy mantras of the Temple of Vaccinia and drown out the inconveniences of history, eh?

Historically, smallpox continued to infect Europe's population until **plumbing infrastructure** became commonplace. When the Roman Empire crumbled, sanitation became a lost art and their society paid the price: plague after plague struck areas of dense population.

**The lesson:** it is ill-conceived living conditions, stress, bad water, toxicity, and malnutrition that breed disease symptoms—**not** an absence of vaccines.

There is no such thing as a "vaccine deficiency," even though all medical dogma on vaccines directly **implies** that such a "deficiency" can exist.

It doesn’t.

Never has. Never will.

It is pure fantasy engineered by people who don’t care who they hurt in their grab for power and profit.

It’s impossible for vaccines to conquer disease symptoms because vaccination is aimed at creating “immunity” against microscopic entities in the body that are not even responsible for causing symptoms of disease in the first place.

If modern medicine has misattributed the CAUSAL factors of “disease” symptoms and is pursuing an illusion, then how can its attempt at a “prevention” or “cure” possibly succeed?

Vaccinating against so-called infectious microbes is like building a security fence to keep unicorns out so your lawn doesn’t get trampled—except the security fence won’t poison you. Billions of dollars have been wasted chasing the pseudo-scientific chimeras of virology and many millions of lives damaged and snuffed out.

I could go on and on with stats and examples, but hopefully you get the idea.

Those of you still inclined to defend the Temple of Vaccinia are encouraged to look at more recent material that continues to prove the ineffectiveness and inherent toxicity of all vaccines—*there is no shortage of it.*

If you won’t do it for your own sake, do it for the children we are supposed to be protecting.

Source: Truthseeker

Original Article: https://tapnewswire.com/2023/07/how-the-establishment-hijacked-vaccine-history/


## Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email

Subscribe now

Support Truth11.com • Make A Donation

• Become A Subscriber

Armed With The Truth • United We Stand